Harold Weisberg
7627 Old Receiver Road
Frederick, MD 21702

734 Coronet Road
Glenview, IL
60025

Mr Weisberg –

Dec. 6, 1992

Thankyou for the brief and concise acknowledgement of my letter to you.

FOIA However you may think that it was a waste of time, perhaps you could give advice on the means of acquiring Bureau of Prisons or FBI photographs of any person incarcerated or arrested?
In particular, I have Charles Harrelson in mind. That is, I am wondering what your friend Fensterwald was up to – in spending so much time with/on Harrelson, in re the tramps photos.
Unless he had a terrible eyesight problem, why wasn't he able to identify or dis identify Harrelson very easily and swiftly?
He has the ears, the forehead, the nose, the fingers, the dark colored skin around the eyes or he doesn't.

no Incidentally, do you have a copy of Madeleine Brown's Texas in the Morning?

(The fact that only a foreign publication, Match, would print such a Dal-tex window/rifle sight tongue down the street is indicative of a manipulated and non-independent press here.
You should contact Noam Chomsky and spend some time with him; persuade him to take an interest in pursuing the assassination. Invite him over for lunch.

Richard Reeves' A Question of Character is a very fine book; however, in a years earlier magazine (travel) article he exclaims that in studying JFK for years, he is unable to perceive a conspiracy and attributes such theories to "nuts". Thus, a fine mind can ask (seemingly) really reveals a disinformationist at work. Nobody could conceivably be either so negligent or stupid.

I just remembered what I additionally wanted to comment re – in knowing the manner in which agreement was reached on going to Texas, when the concensus agreement was reached
(cont'd)

(cont'd)
and by whom, and by allowing or disallowing the agreement participants as compilators, one may logically infer the planning of the killing. That is, given that there was a conspiracy (evidenced elsewise) do elements of conspiracy pre-existing June 3, 1963 contradict an impulsive, extemporaneous kind of political outrage in Nov '63; or did the 6/63 decision merely facilitate something planned in general and adaptable to favorable leaks?, already active prior to 6/63?

I have recently alleged to Prouty that that copied document in Summers' Conspiracy dated 6/20/63 with the name "Oswald" on it has been shortsightedly been neglected and not accorded its full value. That is, BEYOND showing "somebody" fooling around with LO's name and implicating him in something, the date, alone, is extra-significant.
It proves that virtually as of the inauguration day, without JFK's having committed an error of thought or deed, "somebody" was lining LO up for "something" (without his comprehension or authorization).
Thusly, I allege the assassination began consequent and subsequent to JFK's winning the election (honestly or dishonestly). Somebody didn't like his winning the election. It's not that B&P, civil rights, Viet Nam didn't add additional fuel to the fire; but they were not the origins of the conspiracy. The election was the origin. I don't think that I said this to you before; this may give you a new and valuable perspective on what you already know and realize.
You may use this, but do not attribute it.

~~If you are so moved, I confide the JFK thing to Jim Lesar.~~ I'll do something.
(I wonder why no Pro-Ussian has investigated in depth the Abraham Bolden story, another in a line of coverups, and written a small book on it, assuming Bolden is alive to enliven the story.)

~~Best wishes (and stay healthy).~~

~~Bruce Kurek~~

(cont'd)

(cont'd) 12/6/93

as "PS": I have spent reasonable time studying Earl Warren; beyond my conclusion that he would have made a very fine president, I conclude that it is inconceivable that he would have knowingly and consciously have participated in a conspiracy to obscure the truth. Very odd - despite all the controversy surrounding Earl Warren, finding books on him is difficult. There is a plethora of books about everybody else.

~~Bruce Kuwik~~

(PS You're older than I am; did you vote for Henry Wallace?)

Something critical concerns the LO job at TSBD. That is, one could have from 6 to 11/63 to accommodate oneself to a particular shooting site and to practice a simulated site somewhere else. But, what if LO got a job somewhere else? Would the shooting have occurred still using TSBD? Still using LO? Using LO but somewhere else? Did LO get the job, and then the shooting site was moved accordingly; or was he moved to the planned shooting site?

He actually didn't begin working there until Oct 16, only about a month before. First inclination is to surmise that the site was chosen and then that he was fitted into it.

I would guess that one, in a conspiracy, strongly prefers having more than a mere month to plan the event. One has to eg know who's in the building at certain times, in neighboring buildings.... Can't have a shooter surprised by somebody walking into the same room/space. Have to divert potential intruders....

Shoot from where there are no other people (a rooftop).

Be a policeman in uniform, behind the fence.

It is important to investigate who established the route of travel; the tentative variations. Perhaps conspirators were flexible and didn't require extensive site manipulation; or could have waited for another trip to Texas or wherever, when LO would be in good location. Even in another city. It's important to talk with sportsman shooters, even criminals. What would they think on the matter.

(cont'd)

(cont'd.)
the Eddowes book Oswald File is valuable in this respect. Suggestion: read Contract Killer, William Hoffman and Lake Headly; Thunder's Mouth Press – to gain a feeling for how these things are planned; the details considered; the time element factor. It will be a valuable experience.

Bruce Kurek

(Either Marina and/or attached auto records would reveal attempted employment sites since or prior to 6/3/63.)

(One thing one could do if motived somehow was the Minox camera, inadequate for sightseeing and common portrait work, was an all Watergate like setting, photograph the TSBD. Others motived could examine the building beforehand, without attempting gaining illegal and detectable access. A building plan helps. Did anyone somehow procure a copy of the building's plan? Would the designer know? Building Dept. of Dallas? Nobody wants to walk into a strange building to do something so serious. Only a psychotic wouldn't make a plan in advance.